

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/800,061	10/800,061 03/12/2004		Takahiko Yamasaki	36549	8441	
. 116	7590	11/30/2005		EXAMINER		
PEARNE 1801 EAS			LEUNG, PHILIP H			
SUITE 120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CLEVELA	ND, OH	44114-3108	3742	· · · · · · ·		

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

7,

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/800,061	YAMASAKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Philip H. Leung	3742		

	Philip H. Leung	3742							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. ILED WITHIN						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ootou olamio.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling to non-allowable claim(s). 									
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi wided below or appended.	II be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-20</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the daims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s). 9-26-2005 🦯							
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.		Philip H Leung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3742	f.						
		AIL OIIIL 0174							

Application No. 10/800,061

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument is persuasive only in regard to the rejaction based on Yoneno in view of Kikuchi. In Enami, although the water tank 5 is not described as steam supply portion, it is submitted that at least some steam is inherently generated. Most importantly, it teaches the claimed location for holding water used for generating steam. Of course, the generating of steam for heating in addition to microwave heating is well known in the art as taught by the primary reference, Yoneno. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify Yoneno to locate the water container at the lower back portion of the heating chamber, in view of Enami.

Continuation of 13. Other: The claim status indentifiers for claims 19 and 20 are incorrect.